

Bradley Schrager, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 10217
Daniel Bravo, Esq.
Nevada State Bar No. 13078
WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,
SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP
3556 E. Russell Road, 2nd Floor
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120-2234
Telephone: (702) 341-5200/Fax: (702) 341-5300
Email: bschrager@wrslawyers.com

Attorney for Defendant Anastasia Popova

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF NEVADA

ILIA N. ZAVIALOV, in the right of and for
the benefit of DREAM MARRIAGE GROUP,
INC.,

Plaintiff,

vs.

ANASTASIA POPOVA, DOES 1 through
50, Inclusive,

Defendants,

-and-

DREAM MARRIAGE GROUP, INC.,

Nominal Party.

Case No: 2:13-cv-02090-JAD-VCF

**STATEMENT REGARDING
REMOVAL**

Defendant Anastasia Popova (hereinafter "Defendant") submits the following statement regarding removal pursuant to the Court's order [Dkt. 3] of November 13, 2013:

1. Date Complaint Was Served: Defendant was served on October 15, 2013. Copies of the documents served on Defendant are attached to the Petition for Removal [Dkt. 1] as

1 Exhibit A pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a). To Defendant's knowledge, no other defendant has
2 been properly served with process.

3 2. Date Summons Was Served: Defendant was served on October 15, 2013.
4 Copies of the documents served on Defendant are attached to the Petition for Removal [Dkt. 1] as
5 Exhibit A pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(a). To Defendant's knowledge, no other defendant has
6 been properly served with process.

7 3. Diversity Jurisdiction: Plaintiff is a citizen of the State of Washington. *See, e.g.,*
8 Verified Compl. ¶ 1.

9 Defendant is a citizen of the State of California. *See, e.g.,* Verified Compl. ¶ 1.

10 Dream Marriage Group, Inc. is a nominal defendant. *See, e.g.,* Verified Compl. ¶ 1. For
11 removal purposes, the citizenship of a nominal party is disregarded. *Roth v. Davis*, 231 F.2d 681,
12 683 (9th Cir. 1956). Furthermore, a nominal party is not required to join in the Notice of Removal
13 for this reason. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a); *Knutson v. Allis-Chalmers Corp.*, 358 F. Supp. 2d 983,
14 991 (D. Nev. 2005) (*Hewitt v. City of Stanton*, 798 F.2d 1230, 1233 (9th Cir. 1986)).

15 For removal purposes, the citizenship of Does 1-50, inclusive, is disregarded. *See* 28
16 U.S.C. § 1441(a); *Hewitt*, 798 F.2d at 1233.

17 Complete diversity exists between all active parties to this litigation.

18 The amount in controversy in this action exceeds \$75,000.00. The Verified Complaint
19 alleges "Defendant has diverted approximately \$1,200,00.00, of which \$740,000.00 is liquid cash"
20 that Plaintiff seeks to recover. *See, e.g.,* Verified Compl. ¶ 32.

21 In sum, Plaintiff is a citizen of Washington, and Defendant is a citizen of California. The
22 amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000.00, exclusive of costs and interest. Therefore, the Court
23 has diversity jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332.

24 4. Notice of Removal Was Timely: Defendant was served on October 15, 2013.
25 Defendant filed the Petition for Removal on November 13, 2013, within the 30-day time limit
26 allowed under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b). Upon information and belief, no other defendant has been
27 served with process.

1 5. Action Commenced More Than One Year Before Date of Removal: This action
2 was not commenced in state court more than one year prior to the date of removal on November
3 13, 2013.

4 6. Name(s) of Any Defendant(s) Known to Have Been Served Before Removal Filed:
5 Upon information and belief, no other defendants have been served with process.

6
7 DATED this 26th day of November, 2013.

8
9 **WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,
SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP**

10 By: /s/ Bradley Schrager, Esq.
11 Bradley Schrager, Esq.
12 Nevada State Bar No. 10217
13 Daniel Bravo, Esq.
14 Nevada State Bar No. 13078
15 WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,
 SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP
 3556 E. Russell Road, 2nd Floor
 Las Vegas, Nevada 89120-2234

16 *Attorney for Defendant Anastasia Popova*
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I am an employee of Wolf, Rifkin, Shapiro, Schulman & Rabkin, LLP, and that on the 26th day of November, 2013, I served a true and correct copy of the foregoing STATEMENT REGARDING REMOVAL upon all counsel of record by electronically serving the document using the Court's electronic filing system.

By /s/ Michael J. Hannon

Michael J. Hannon, an Employee of
WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO, SCHULMAN &
RABKIN, LLP